



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/543,782	04/06/2000	D. Zucker-Franklin	ZUCKER-FRANKLIN=1A	8307
1444	7590	09/08/2004	EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303				STRZELECKA, TERESA E
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
				1637

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/543,782	ZUCKER-FRANKLIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Teresa E Strzelecka	1637	

All Participants:

(1) Teresa E Strzelecka. (3) _____.

(2) Sheridan Neimark. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 September 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Teresa Strzelecka 9/2/04

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A printer rush query was issued for this case on August 10, 2004, because replacement figures 3A, 3B and 8, submitted on March 17, 2003, were not scanned into the case. Mr. Neimark was asked if he could provide copies of the replacement figures. The figures were received by fax on September 2, 2004.